IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel.)
W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his capacity as)
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF)
OKLAHOMA and OKLAHOMA SECRETARY)
OF THE ENVIRONMENT J. D. STRONG,)
in his capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL)
RESOURCES FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)) 05-CV-0329 GKF-PJC
TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC.,)
TYSON CHICKEN, INC., COBB-VANTRESS, INC.,)
AVIAGEN, INC., CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC.,)
CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC., CARGILL, INC.,)
CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC,)
GEORGE'S, INC., GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.,)
PETERSON FARMS, INC., SIMMONS FOODS, INC.,)
and WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S MOTION IN LIMINE PERTAINING TO AS YET UNARTICULATED FUTURE WATER QUALITY CRITERIA [DKT #2426]

Defendants, Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson Poultry, Inc., Tyson Chicken, Inc., Cobb-Vantress, Inc., Cal-Maine Foods, Inc., Cal-Maine Farms, Inc, Cargill, Inc., Cargill Turkey Production, LLC, George's Inc. George's Farms, Inc., Peterson Farms, Inc., and Simmons Foods, Inc., [hereinafter "Defendants"] respectfully submit their Response to the State of Oklahoma's Motion Pertaining To As Yet Unarticulated Future Water Quality [Dkt. 2426]. In support of their Response, the Defendants state the following:

I. ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITY

Evidence is considered relevant to the extent it has "any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more

probable or less probably than it would be without the evidence." Fed. R. Evid. 401; *Owner-Operator Indep. Drivers Ass'n v. USIS Commer. Serv.*, 537 F.3d 1184, 1193 (10th Cir. 2008). Evidence that is relevant is generally admissible. Fed. R. Evid. 402. It is within the sound discretion of the trial court to determine whether evidence is relevant. *Gomez v. Martin Marietta Corp.*, 50 F.3d 1511, 1518 (10th Cir. 1995).

The State intends on using results from sampling of certain indicator bacteria, entercocci, E.coli and fecal coliforms as substantive proof that the release of bacteria from the land application of poultry litter has created a risk a human health risk in the Illinois River Watershed (IRW). The State will base that contention on State regulatory standards based on the EPA's current Ambient Water Quality Standards (AWQS) for recreational use. However, the EPA has initiated a review of the reliability of those water quality standards and anticipates releasing revised Ambient Water Quality Standards based upon this re-evaluation by 2012. [Ex. 2, Expert Report of Dr. Herman J. Gibb, Ph.D., M.P.H., ¶ 27]; see also Report of the Experts Scientific Workshop on Critical Research Needs for the Development of New or Revised Recreational Water Quality Criteria, EPA 823-R-07-006, June 15, 2007, attached hereto as Ex. 3 (stating that the purpose of the workshop was to obtain input on the "critical path" research and related science needs for developing scientifically defensible new or revised recreational AWQC. pg. ES-1; Recreational Water Quality Criteria, www.epa.gov/waterscience/criteria/recreation, attached hereto as Ex. 4 (suggesting that the current standards are not scientifically defensible). The State claims that the introduction of any evidence that the EPA is evaluating, reconsidering or changing its

The State in this litigation actually tested for some pathogens in the IRW waters, but found only low levels of salmonella and essentially no campylobacter. (Ex. 1, Expert Report of Samuel Myoda, pg. 14-16.)

guidelines for use of indicator bacteria in assessing human health risks is irrelevant and purely speculative.

The State argues that the Oklahoma's Water Quality Standards are the law. However, Defendants are not being sued or prosecuted for violating Oklahoma's water quality standards, but rather have been sued, in part, for creating a substantial endangerment to human health in the IRW. That claim is founded in large part not on the fact of Oklahoma's standards, but rather on their scientific reliability. The fact that EPA has initiated a review of the very guidelines that underlie and support Oklahoma's standards is highly relevant and competent evidence that those standards are not necessarily indicative of a risk to human health.

As noted, the Oklahoma standards were adopted from the guidelines promulgated by the EPA. The EPA is now re-evaluating those guidelines for a number of reasons, among them the development of a body of work suggesting that the guidelines bear little correlation to risk to human health in recreational waters that have been impacted by animal wastes rather than by human wastes. (Ex. 1, Myoda Rpt. at 14-16; Ex. 5, Report of Herbert L. Dupont, M.D. at 5-8). In this case, the State is seeking to have the Court impose liability upon the Defendants for the land application of poultry litter by its growers. The State is more specifically seeking a finding that the land application of poultry litter has released pathogens into the waters of the IRW which have created a substantial risk to human health in the IRW under the existing AWQS. Thus, the reliability of those standards under the current state of science is relevant.

Dr. Christopher Teaf, an expert for the State, claims that microbial indicator organisms are commonly used and widely accepted measures of the potential for the

presence of pathogens, including bacteria, viruses, and protozoa in recreational water and cites the National Research Council (NRC 2004), EPA (2005), and Wade et al. (2006) as references (Ex. 2, Gibb Report, ¶14 citing Teaf's Report at ¶¶ 17 and 21). However, the National Research Council in 2004 stated that

the use of indicators is based on the presumption that they co-occur at a constant ratio with illness-causing pathogens. This premise is flawed because indicator levels in the gastrointestinal tract may vary within a narrow range, but pathogen concentration is highly variable and dependent on which pathogens are in the population at what levels at specific times. Furthermore, upon leaving the intestinal tract, microbial indicators and pathogens degrade at different rates that are mediated by factors such as their resistance to aerobic conditions, ultraviolet radiation, temperature changes, and salinity. As a result, the epidemiological relationship between indicator density and illness patterns can differ depending on the age of the source material, as well as local meteorological and other environmental conditions. Several studies also have found that some indicator bacteria can grow outside the human or animal intestinal system, further confounding the correlation between pathogens and indicators.

(Ex.1, Gibb Report at ¶ 15 citing NRC (2004)). The National Research Council further stated that the underlying epidemiologic studies used to establish the AWQS involved reported failures in marine waters due non-point sources, while the epidemiologic studies used to establish the AWQS were based upon exposures primarily related to exposure to human fecal dominated point sources. (Ex. 2, Gibb Report at ¶ 16 citing NRC (2004)). Dr. Gibb opined that non-point sources generally have a higher percentage of animal fecal contributions. (Ex. 2, Gibb Report at ¶ 16).

According to the National Research Council, animals can shed bacterial indicators without some of the accompanying human pathogens. It is recognized that considerable uncertainty exists when extrapolating the present AWQS to non-point source situations. A poor correlation between bacterial indicators and virus concentrations has been found

in the study of non-point sources and water quality. (Ex.1, Gibb Affidavit at \P 16 citing NRC (2004)).

In 2005, the EPA found that "[t]he works of several researchers has shown that these indicators (E.coli and enterococci) are not reliable surrogates for many pathogens including bacteria, and most viruses and parasites." It further found that "[n]ew approaches for detecting pathogens are needed to improve monitoring systems." (Ex. 2, Gibb Report at ¶ 17 citing EPA 2005). In response to this research, the EPA in 2007 convened a panel of experts to evaluate the research needs for the development of a new or revised recreational water quality criterion by 2012. (Ex. 2, Gibb Report at ¶ 21). That panel did not endorse the current AWQC for bacteria. In fact, they recommended research be conducted on a variety of approaches which were not limited to the use of indicator bacteria. (Ex. 2, Gibb Report at ¶ 25).

The State argues that allowing the Defendants to refer to or present evidence of any future AWQS would allow the admission of purely speculative evidence. The EPA has expended billions of dollars to improve the science of the 1986 AWQS. (Ex. 2, Gibb Report at ¶ 26). Certainly, the EPA would not expend millions of dollars of research questioning and evaluating the reliability of its own guidelines for determining risk to human health from bacteria if it did not consider it important to revise those standards. The release of new standards for evaluating human health risk from bacteria in waters affected by primarily animal feces is not speculative. The EPA has stated it intends on releasing a new standard in 2012.

Indeed, the scientific reasoning behind the EPA's investigation has been discussed in by many experts in the field. In fact, in its Order granting Defendants'

Daubert motion to exclude the testimony of the State's expert, Dr. Valerie Harwood, the Court found that the peer reviewers who had reviewed Dr. Harwood's work had criticized her specifically for relying excessively on the EPA's indicator bacteria standards to assess a health risk in waters impacted by animal feces. As the Court found,

"[t]he relationship of fecal indicators with human health risk was developed at sites contaminated primarily with human waste. This relationship is not expected to be the same for water contaminated with feces from nonhuman sources."

(Ex. 6, July 28, 2009 Hearing Transcript, Pg. 251:8-15 (quoting a peer reviewer of Valerie Harwood's article submission)). Thus, contrary to the State's assertion the anticipated revisions and the reliability of the existing AWQS, more specifically the use of indicator bacteria for assessing human health risks in waters affected by animal feces, are not speculative and are highly relevant to the State's claims for injunctive and other relief in this matter.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the State's Motion should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

By _/s/ Nicole M. Longwell_

A. Scott McDaniel (Okla. Bar No. 16460) smcdaniel@mhla-law.com Nicole M. Longwell (Okla. Bar No. 18771) nlongwell@mhla-law.com Philip D. Hixon (Okla. Bar No. 19121) phixon@mhla-law.com Craig A. Mirkes (Okla. Bar No. 20783) cmirkes@mhla-law.com McDANIEL, HIXON, LONGWELL & ACORD, PLLC 320 South Boston Ave., Suite 700 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103 (918) 382-9200 and Sherry P. Bartley (Ark. Bar No. 79009) Appearing Pro Hac Vice MITCHELL, WILLIAMS, SELIG, GATES & WOODYARD, P.L.L.C. 425 W. Capitol Ave., Suite 1800 Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 (501) 688-8800

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT PETERSON FARMS, INC.

BY: /s/Robert W. George (SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION) Robert W. George, OBA #18562 **Bryan Burns** TYSON FOODS, INC 2210 West Oaklawn Drive Springdale, Arkansas 72762 (479) 290-4067 Telephone (479) 290-7967 Facsimile -and-Stephen L. Jantzen, OBA #16247 Patrick M. Ryan, OBA #7864 Paula Buchwald, OBA # 20464 RYAN, WHALEY & COLDIRON, P.C. 119 North Robinson 900 Robinson Renaissance Oklahoma City, OK 73102 (405) 239-6040 Telephone (405) 239-6766 Facsimile -andMichael R. Bond, appearing pro hac vice Erin Thompson, appearing pro hac vice Dustin R. Darst, appearing pro hac vice KUTAK ROCK, LLP 234 East Millsap Road, Suite 400 Fayetteville, AR 72703-4099 (479) 973-4200 Telephone (479) 973-0007 Facsimile

-and-Mark D. Hopson, appearing pro hac vice Gordon D. Todd, appearing pro hac vice Jay T. Jorgensen, appearing pro hac vice Timothy K. Webster, appearing pro hac vice SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-1401 (202) 736-8000 Telephone

(202) 736-8711 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR TYSON FOODS, INC.; TYSON POULTRY, INC.; TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

BY: /s/ John H. Tucker
(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)
Theresa Noble Hill, OBA #19119
John H. Tucker, OBA #9110
Colin H. Tucker, OBA #16325
RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES,
TUCKER & GABLE, PLLC
P. O. BOX 21100
100 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 400
Tulsa, OK 74121-1100
(918) 582-1173 Telephone
(918) 592-3390 Facsimile
-andDelmar R. Ehrich

Bruce Jones
Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee
Christopher H. Dolan
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP
2200 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
(612) 766-7000 Telephone
(612) 766-1600 Facsimile
-and-

Todd P. Walker

Melissa C. Collins

Colin C. Deihl

Faegre & Benson, LLP

3200 Wells Fargo Center

1700 Lincoln Street

Denver, CO 80203

ATTORNEYS FOR CARGILL, INC., and CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC

BY: /s/ James M . Graves

(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)

James M. Graves

Gary V. Weeks

Woodson W. Bassett III

K. C. Dupps Tucker

BASSETT LAW FIRM

P. O. BOX 3618

FAYETTEVILLE, AR 72702-3618

(479) 521-9996 Telephone

(479) 521-9600 Facsimile

-and-

Randall E. Rose, OBA #7753

George W. Owens

OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C.

234 W. 13th Street

Tulsa, OK 74119

(918) 587-0021 Telephone

(918) 587-6111 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR GEORGE'S, INC. AND GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.

BY: /s/ John R. Elrod

(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)

John R. Elrod

Vicki Bronson, OBA #20574

P. Joshua Wisley

CONNER & WINTERS, PLLC

211 E. Dickson Street

Fayetteville, AR 72701

(479) 582-5711 Telephone

(479) 587-1426 Facsimile

-and-

Bruce W. Freeman

D. Richard Funk

CONNER & WINTERS, L.L.P.

4000 One Williams Center

Tulsa, OK 74172

(918) 586-5711 Telephone

(918) 586-8553 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

BY: /s/Robert P. Redemann

(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)

Robert P. Redemann, OBA #7454

William D. Perrine

David C. Senger

PERRINE, MCGIVERN, REDEMANN, REID,

BERRY & TAYLOR, P.L.L.C.

P. O. BOX 1710

Tulsa, OK 74101-1710

(918) 382-1400 Telephone

(918) 382-1499 Facsimile

-and-

Robert E. Sanders

Stephen Williams

YOUNG WILLIAMS P.A.

P. O. BOX 23059

JACKSON, MS 39225-3059

(601) 948-6100 Telephone

(601) 355-6136 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC. AND CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 19th day of August, 2009, I electronically transmitted the attached document through the Court's electronic filing system to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General Kelly Hunter Burch, Assistant Attorney General drew_edmondson@oag.state.ok.us kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us

Melvin David Riggs Richard T. Garren Sharon K. Weaver David P. Page

Riggs Abney Neal Turpen Orbison & Lewis

driggs@riggsabney.com rgarren@riggsabnev.com sweaver@riggsabney.com dpage@riggsabney.com

Robert Allen Nance Dorothy Sharon Gentry Riggs Abney

Louis W. Bullock

Robert M. Blakemore Bullock Bullock & Blakemore rnance@riggsabney.com sgentry@riggsabney.com

lbullock@bullock-blakemore.com bblakemore@bullock-blakemore.com

Michael G. Rousseau Jonathan D. Orent Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick Motley Rice LLC

mrousseau@motleyrice.com jorent@motleyrice.com ffitzpatrick@motleyrice.com

Elizabeth C. Ward Frederick C. Baker William H. Narwold Elizabeth Claire Xidis Ingrid L. Moll Motley Rice

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

lward@motleyrice.com fbaker@motleyrice.com bnarwold@motleyrice.com cxidis@motleyrice.com imoll@motleyrice.com

Stephen L. Jantzen Patrick M. Ryan Paula M. Buchwald Ryan, Whaley & Coldiron, P.C.

sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com pryan@ryanwhaley.com pbuchwald@ryanwhaley.com

Mark D. Hopson Jay Thomas Jorgensen Timothy K. Webster Gordon D. Todd Erik J. Ives Sidley Austin LLP

mhopson@sidley.com jjorgensen@sidley.com twebster@sidley.com gtodd@sidley.com eives@sidley.com

Robert W. George robert.george@tyson.com
L. Bryan Burns bryan.burns@tyson.com
Timothy T. Jones tim.jones@tyson.com
Tyson Foods, Inc.

Michael R. Bond michael.bond@kutakrock.com
Erin Walker Thompson erin.thompson@kutakrock.com
Dustin R. Darst dustin.darst@kutakrock.com

Kutak Rock LLP

COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

R. Thomas Lay rtl@kiralaw.com

Kerr, Irvine, Rhodes & Ables

Jennifer S. Griffin jgriffin@lathropgage.com Frank M. Evans, III fevans@lathropgage.com

Lathrop & Gage, L.C.

COUNSEL FOR WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.

Robert P. Redemann rredemann@pmrlaw.net
William D. Perrine wperrine@pmrlaw.net
David C .Senger david@cgmlawok.com

Perrine, McGivern, Redemann, Reid, Berry & Taylor, PLLC

Robert E. Sanders rsanders@youngwilliams.com
E. Stephen Williams steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

Young Williams P.A.

COUNSEL FOR CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC. AND CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC.

George W. Owens gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com Randall E. Rose gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com

The Owens Law Firm, P.C.

James M. Graves jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com
Gary V. Weeks gweeks@bassettlawfirm.com
Woody Bassett wbassettlawfirm.com
K.C. Dupps Tucker kctucker@bassettlawfirm.com
Earl Lee "Buddy" Chadick bchadick@bassettlawfirm.com
Vincent O. Chadick vchadick@bassettlawfirm.com

Bassett Law Firm

COUNSEL FOR GEORGE'S INC. AND GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.

John R. Elrodjelrod@cwlaw.comVicki Bronsonvbronson@cwlaw.comP. Joshua Wisleyjwisley@cwlaw.com

Conner & Winters, P.C.

Bruce W. Freeman bfreeman@cwlaw.com

D. Richard Funk

Conner & Winters, LLLP

COUNSEL FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

John H. Tuckerjtuckercourts@rhodesokla.comColin H. Tuckerchtucker@rhodesokla.comTheresa Noble Hillthillcourts@rhodesokla.comKerry R. Lewisklewis@rhodesokla.com

Rhodes, Hieronymus, Jones, Tucker & Gable

Terry W. West terry@thewesetlawfirm.com

The West Law Firm

Delmar R. Ehrich dehrich@faegre.com bjones@faegre.com Bruce Jones Krisann Kleibacker Lee kklee@baegre.com twalker@faegre.com Todd P. Walker Christopher H. Dolan cdolan@faegre.com Melissa C. Collins mcollins@faegre.com cdeihl@faegre.com Colin C. Deihl rkahnke@faegre.com Randal E. Kahnke

Faegre & Benson LLP

Dara D. Mann dmann@mckennalong.com

McKenna, Long & Aldridge LLP

COUNSEL FOR CARGILL, INC. AND CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC

Michael D. Graves mgraves@hallestill.com
D. Kenyon Williams, Jr. kwilliams@hallestill.com

COUNSEL FOR POULTRY GROWERS

William B. Federman wfederman@aol.com
Jennifer F. Sherrill jfs@federmanlaw.com

Federman & Sherwood

Charles Moulton charles.moulton@arkansag.gov Jim DePriest jim.depriest@arkansasag.gov

Office of the Attorney General

COUNSEL FOR THE STATE OF ARKANSAS AND THE ARKANSAS NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION

Gary S. Chilton gchilton@hcdattorneys.com

Holladay, Chilton & Degiusti, PLLC

Victor E. Schwartz vschwartz@shb.com
Cary Silverman csilverman@shb.com

Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP

Robin S. Conrad conrad@uschamber.com

National Chamber Litigation Center, Inc.

COUNSEL FOR AMICI CURIAE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE FOR THE U.S. AND THE AMERICAN TORT REFORM ASSOCIATION

Richard C. Ford fordr@crowedunlevy.com
LeAnne Burnett burnettl@crowedunlevy.com

Crowe & Dunlevy

COUNSEL FOR AMICUS CURIAE OKLAHOMA FARM BUREAU, INC.

M. Richard Mullins richard.mullins@mcafeetaft.com

McAfee & Taft

James D. Bradbury jim@bradburycounsel.com

James D. Bradbury, PLLC

COUNSEL FOR AMICI CURIAE TEXAS FARM BUREAU, TEXAS CATTLE FEEDERS ASSOCIATION, TEXAS PORK PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION AND TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF DAIRYMEN

Mia Vahlberg @gablelaw.com

Gable Gotwals

James T. Banksjtbanks@hhlaw.comAdam J. Siegelajsiegel@hhlaw.com

Hogan & Hartson, LLP

COUNSEL FOR AMICI CURIAE NATIONAL CHICKEN COUNCIL, U.S. POULTRY & EGG ASSOCIATION AND NATIONAL TURKEY FEDERATION

John D. Russell Jrussell@fellerssnider.com

Fellers, Snider, Blankenship, Bailey & Tippens, P.C.

William A. Waddell, Jr. waddell@fec.net
David E. Choate dchoate@fec.net

Friday, Eldredge & Clark, LLP

COUNSEL FOR AMICUS CURIAE ARKANSAS FARM BUREAU FEDERATION

Barry G. Reynolds reynolds@titushillis.com
Jessica E. Rainey jrainey@titushillis.com

Titus Hills Reynolds Love Dickman & McCalmon

William S. Cox, III wcox@lightfootlaw.com Nikaa B. Jordan njordan@lightfootlaw.com

Lightfoot, Franklin & White, LLC

COUNSEL FOR AMICUS CURIAE AMERICAN FARM BUREAU FEDERATION AND NATIONAL CATTLEMEN'S BEEF ASSOCIATION

I also hereby certify that I served the attached documents by United States Postal Service, proper postage paid, on the following who are not registered participants of the ECF System:

J.D. Strong
Secretary of the Environment
State of Oklahoma
3800 North Classen
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

Thomas C. Green
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP
1501 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC.,
TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON
CHICKEN, INC.; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

Dustin McDaniel
Justin Allen
Office of the Attorney General of Arkansas
323 Center Street, Suite 200
Little Rock, AR 72201-2610
COUNSEL FOR THE STATE OF
ARKANSAS AND THE ARKANSAS
NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION

/s/ Nicole M. Longwell___